

Procurement Committee Meeting - May 28, 2025 RFP #Y25-148-KC, Cost Estimating, Scheduling, and Project Management for the Orange County Convention Center

CRITERIA	Qual. of Staff	Qual of Firm	Technical Approach	Location	M/WBE	Dislocated Workers (B)	SDV (B)	Fee Proposal	Total Weighted
Weighted Value	20	20	20	10	10	5	15	20	120
							(B) Indicates Bor	l nus Points (Maximums	
AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	60.00	60.00	60.00	50.00	25.00	0.00	2.00	67.65	324.65
Cost Management Inc. dba CMI	75.00	100.00	100.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	68.58	443.58
EVP Consulting, LLC dba Anker Advisory Group	40.00	40.00	60.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	67.07	
MC Fuhrman & Associates, LLC dba MCFA	40.00	20.00	55.00	20.00	25.00	0.00	2.00	64.74	307.07
PMA Consultants LLC	55.00	65.00	60.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	2.00		226.74
Procon Consulting LLC	80.00	80.00	80.00	40.00	25.00	0.00		89.02	321.02
Furner & Townsend Heery, LLC	60.00	80.00	60.00	0.00	50.00		0.00	100.00	405.00
HA Incorporated	40.00	40.00				0.00	4.00	66.85	320.85
	10.00	40.00	40.00	50.00	25.00	1.00	2.00	66.85	264.85

Recommendation:

Award is recommended to the top three ranked firms: Cost Management Inc dba CMI, Procon Consulting LLC, and AECOM Technical Services, Inc.

Procurement Remarks:

- Colliers Project Leaders was deemed non-responsive for failing to conform to the fee structure of the Orange County Fee Schedule Form.
- Construcciones IMB LLC was deemed non-responsive for failing to provide the required documentation to perform evaluation of their submittal.
- Gardiner & Theobald was deemed non-responsive for failing to conform to the fee structure of the Orange County Fee Schedule Form.
- Project Cost Solutions, Inc. was deemed non-responsive for failing to failed to conform to the fee structure of the Orange County Fee Schedule Form.

POINT STRUCTURE:

1= Poor 4= Above Avg.

2= Below Avg. 5= Excellent

3= Average

